	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	09/655,803	HORIKIRI, KAZUNORI				
	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Christian La Forgia	2131				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative,	PTO personnel):					
Christian La Forgia. (3)						
) <u>Daniel A Tanner III</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: <u>13 September 2005</u> .						
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conferenc c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applica	e nt2)⊠ applicant's represe	ntative]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Y If Yes, brief description:	′es e)⊠ No.					
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1-10 and 12-14</u> .						
dentification of prior art discussed: <u>US Patent Nos. (</u>	6,330,677 (Madoukh) & 6,292,	790 (Krahn).				
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reach	ed. g)⊠ was not reached. □	h)□ N/A.				
Substance of Interview including description of the greached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation</u>		eed to if an agreement was				
A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, wher allowable is available, a summary thereof must be at	e no copy of the amendments	ner agreed would render the cla that would render the claims				
HE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFF ITERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply IVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DAT ORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEM ummary of Record of Interview requirements on rev	to the last Office action has a E, OR THE MAILING DATE O MENT OF THE SUBSTANCE (Iready been filed, APPLICANT F THIS INTERVIEW SUMMAR OF THE INTERVIEW. See				
	·					

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)

Interview Summary

Paper No. 3

Examiner's signature, if required

Summary of Record of Interview Requirements

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP), Section 713.04, Substance of Interview Must be Made of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face, video conference, or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 Interviews

Paragraph (b)

In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135. (35 U.S.C. 132)

37 CFR §1.2 Business to be transacted in writing.

All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete an Interview Summary Form for each interview held where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below. Where the substance of an interview is completely recorded in an Examiners Amendment, no separate Interview Summary Record is required.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate Paper No., placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" section of the file wrapper. In a personal interview, a duplicate of the Form is given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephone or video-conference interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number (Series Code and Serial Number)
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (telephonic, video-conference, or personal)
- Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, examiner, other PTO personnel, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). Note: Agreement as to allowability is tentative and does not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview (if Form is not an attachment to a signed Office action)

It is desirable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his or her obligation to record the substance of the interview of each case. It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview.

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of the specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the Examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner,

(The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he or she feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.)

- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete and accurate, the examiner will give the applicant an extendable one month time period to correct the record.

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the statement attributed to him or her. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication, "Interview Record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.

Application No. 09/655,803

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Applicant disclosed the differences between the instant application and prior art, in particular Madoukh. In particular, the Applicant argued that Madoukh does not disclose "applying a predetermined calculating operation to information comprising at least the privilege information and the secret information, thereby generating protected privilege information by the at least the first of the plurality of clients." The Applicant also argued the 103 rejection along with the motivation. Upon the filing of a formal amendment, the Examiner will consider the arguments and take appropriate action.

address.

OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

277 SOUTH WASHINGTON STREET ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22314

Telephone: (703) 836-5400 Facsimile: (703) 836-2797 E-Mail: Email@Oliff.com www.oliff.com

September 6, 2005

FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION COVER SHEET

To: Examiner C. Laforgia Group Art Unit 2131 U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
Fax: 571-273-3792
From: Daniel A. Tanner, III
Your Ref.: 09/655,803 Our Ref.: 107196
Number of Pages Sent (Including cover sheet): 2
Prepared By: Dat
Comments:
Examiner LaForgia, Please find attached a request for a personal interview regarding the above-identified application. There are several issues regarding the mapping of the claim terms to the applied prior art references.
Thank you for your consideration in this matter.
Very Sincerely, Dan Tanner
This facsimile is intended only for the use of the individual or entity named above and may contain privileged or confidential information. If you are not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient, you are notified that any review, dissemination, distribution or copying of this facsimile is prohibited. If you have received this facsimile in error, please immediately notify us by facsimile or telephone, and return the facsimile to us by mail at the above

Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form									
Application No.: 09/655,803 First Named Applicant: Kazunori Horikiri Examiner: C. LaForgia Art Unit: 2131 Status of Application: After Final									
Tentative Participants: (1) Ex. C. LaForgia (2) Daniel A. Tanner III (Oliff & Berridge, PLC)									
(3)									
Type of Interview Requested: (1) ☐ Telephonic (2) ☑ Personal (3) ☐ Video Conference									
Exhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated: YES NO If yes, provide brief description:									
Issues To Be Discussed									
Issues (Rej., Obj., etc)	Claims/ Fig. #s	Prior Art		Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed			
(1) Rejection	_	Madoukh							
(2)									
									
Continuation Sheet Attached									
Brief Description of Arguments to be Presented: The reference does not teach all of the features the Office Action alleges. For example, applying a mathematical									
function to a system-generated random number, or other data that is unrelated to the stored user, secret or privilege									
information, to generate a "result" to be encrypted does not teach or suggest the feature applying a predetermined									
calculating operation to information comprising at least the privilege information and the secret information, thereby									
generating protected privilege information, as is recited in claim 1.									
An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on									
NOTE: This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP § 713.01). This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. This applicant is advised to file a statement of substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b) as soon as possible.									
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature)			(Examiner/SPE Signature)						